Addendum No. 02





PORTERVILLE COLLEGE CAREER CENTER

OWNER:	KERN COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT 2100 Chester Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301
PREPARED BY:	PBK Architects, Inc. 4900 California Avenue, Suite 130-A Bakersfield, CA 93309
PBK PROJECT NO.:	S2102800AR
DSA FILE NO.:	15-C1
DSA APPLICATION NO.:	02-121795

NOTICE TO BIDDERS

- A. Receipt of this Addendum shall be acknowledged on the Proposal Form.
- B. This Addendum forms part of the Contract Documents for the above referenced project and shall be incorporated integrally therewith.
- C. Each proposer shall make necessary adjustments and submit their proposal with full knowledge of all modifications, clarifications, and supplemental data included therein. Where provisions of the following supplemental data differ from those of the original Contract Documents, this Addendum shall govern.

BIDDING PROCUREMENT:

AD2-01 SECOND PRE-BID WALK SIGN IN SHEET

Refer to the PRE-BID WALK SIGN IN SHEET attached herein.

ADDITONAL INFORMATION:

AD2-02 ASBESTOS SURVEY

Refer to attached Asbestos Survey dated October 26, 2023 prepared by Krazan & Associates, Inc.

AD2-03 LEAD SURVEY

Refer to attached Lead Survey dated October 26, 2023 prepared by Krazan & Associates, Inc.

Specifications:

AD2-04 SECTION 02 82 00 ASBESTOS REMEDIATION

Contractor shall delete specification Section 02 82 00 ASBESTOS REMEDIATION from the Specification Manual

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 2



Kern Community College District Porterville College – Career Center Remodel Project # S2102800AR February 27, 2024, at 9:30AM

BID WALK SIGN IN SHEET

Name	Co. Name	Phone No.	Fax No.	E-mail
Sean Patterson	SMP CONSTRUCTION LL	661. 447.0377		s-eanesnestlewood, com
Grum Brunsor	Brancist Constructions	559-789-3132		biando bangs. com
COLBY CONINTON	Branker Lons mu grow	537-761-4257		colly@ Locomps, com
James Mennuerney	MCMURIREY LINCE	661-703-5011		JMCMURINER MCMURTREY CINIG. Com
Bo Smith	Ken Smith Construction	702-622-0200		Ken@KwSmithCo. Com
Matt Weir	SMP Construction	661-201-5044		Mattheir Consulting @ Gmail. Co.
	X			

ASBESTOS SURVEY PORTERVILLE COLLEGE CAREER CENTER 100 EAST COLLEGE AVENUE PORTERVILLE, CALIFORNIA

Project No. 014-23116 October 26, 2023

Prepared for: Daniel Reed Kern Community College District 2100 Chester Avenue, Suite 201 Bakersfield, California 93301 (661) 336-5100

> Prepared by: Krazan & Associates, Inc. 215 West Dakota Avenue Clovis, California 93612 (559) 348-2200

TABLE OF CONTENTSProject No. 014-23116

Page

1.0 INTRODUCTION	. 1
2.0 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF WORK	. 1
3.0 BUILDING DESCRIPTION	. 1
4.0 INVESTIGATIVE METHODS	2
4.1 Sampling Protocols	2
4.2 Laboratory Analytical Methods	2
5.0 RESULTS OF INVESTIGATION	2
6.0 CONCLUSIONS	3
7.0 LIMITATIONS	3

Figures

Asbestos Survey Results	following text
Floor Plan	following Results

Appendices

Analytical Results and Chain-of-Custody Record	. A
DOSH Certifications	. B



GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING • ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION TESTING & INSPECTION

October 26, 2023

Project No. 014-23116

ASBESTOS SURVEY PORTERVILLE COLLEGE CAREER CENTER 100 EAST COLLEGE AVENUE PORTERVILLE, CALIFORNIA

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of our asbestos survey for the structure located at 100 E. College Ave. in Porterville, California. The asbestos survey was conducted under the conditions of Krazan & Associates, Inc.'s (Krazan's) Proposal No. P23-390, dated September 17, 2023. Mike Giacomini gave written authorization on September 28, 2023, for Krazan to proceed with the asbestos survey.

2.0 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF WORK

The purpose of the asbestos survey was to identify and quantify the presence of potential asbestoscontaining materials (ACMs) at the on-site structure in areas scheduled for remodel. The scope of work for the asbestos survey included conducting a visual survey of the structure and conducting bulk sampling and analysis of materials suspected to contain asbestos. This survey was performed in accordance with applicable local, state, and federal regulations.

3.0 BUILDING DESCRIPTION

The site is located on the north side of College, east of Main in Porterville, California. The structure was a single-story structure with concrete slab-on-grade foundation, with stucco exterior walls. Interior construction included suspended ceilings with two-foot by four-foot ceiling panels; gypsum board and wood walls; and concrete floors overlain (in areas) by wall-to-wall carpeting.

4.0 INVESTIGATIVE METHODS

4.1 Sampling Protocols

Fourteen (14) samples of suspected ACMs were collected from throughout the on-site structure. Sample locations for this survey were chosen in a semi-random fashion with emphasis placed on minimizing damage to the sampled materials. The samples were collected by carefully removing a small amount of the suspect material in a non-abrasive manner. If possible, samples were collected from existing damaged areas or loose pieces of materials. Each sample was placed in a separate sealed plastic bag, and labeled with the project number and sample number. Refer to the Floor Plan following the text for the bulk sample locations.

4.2 Laboratory Analytical Methods

The bulk samples collected were analyzed by E.H.S. Laboratories of Richmond, Virginia, to detect the presence, type, and percentage of asbestos by polarized light microscopy/dispersion staining, following the procedure described in 40 CFR 763, Subpart E, Appendix A (AHERA). Copies of the Analytical Results and Chain-of-Custody Record are included in Appendix A.

5.0 **RESULTS OF INVESTIGATION**

As stated previously, 14 samples of suspected ACMs were collected from throughout the structure. Analytical laboratory results and field observations of the materials sampled have been summarized on Table I, following the text of this report. Information presented within the table includes the sample number, the sample description, the location where the sample was obtained, the asbestos content, the volume of ACMs identified (typically expressed in square feet), the condition of the material sampled, and a listing of locations where similar (homogenous) ACMs were also noted (although not necessarily sampled in these areas). In addition, footnotes have been provided to convey pertinent information regarding the specific sample or homogenous material.

The following materials were identified as containing at least one percent asbestos: No samples collected from this structure contained detectable amounts of asbestos.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

The National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) defines regulated asbestoscontaining materials (RACM) as the following: friable materials containing more than one percent asbestos as determined by polarized light microscopy; Category I non-friable materials (i.e., floor tiles, asphalt roofing products) containing more than one percent asbestos that have become friable, have been subjected to or will be subjected to sanding, grinding, cutting, or abrading; and Category II non-friable materials (i.e., non-friable asbestos-containing materials that are not Category I materials) containing more than one percent asbestos that have a high probability of becoming or have already been reduced to a friable condition by demolition or renovation activities. The above-noted samples did not contain greater than one percent asbestos and would, therefore, not meet the definition of a RACM under the NESHAP. In addition, the California Division of Occupational Safety and Health (Cal-OSHA) defines asbestos-containing construction material (ACCM) as greater than 0.1 percent asbestos. The above-noted samples did not contain greater than 0.1 percent asbestos and, therefore, would not meet the definition of an ACCM.

The San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (APCD) is the responsible agency on the local level to enforce the NESHAP. The APCD Regional Office requires that asbestos-containing materials (ACM) be removed prior to renovation or demolition activities. Additionally, the APCD must be notified prior to any demolition and/or renovation activities.

7.0 LIMITATIONS

This survey and review of the subject property has been limited in scope. This investigation is undertaken with the risk that visual observations and random sampling alone would not reveal the presence, full nature, and extent of asbestos-containing materials. Krazan makes no representation as to the asbestos content of materials not sampled or that were inaccessible to our inspector (i.e., between walls, beneath floors, in pipe chases, etc.). The asbestos sample locations and building dimensions were measured/located in the field by tape measurement from existing features. Therefore, the sample locations, building dimensions, and approximate square footage of asbestos-containing materials should be considered accurate only to the degree implied by the methods used.

The findings presented in this report were based on field observations, random sampling and analysis, review of available data, and discussions with local regulatory and advisory agencies. Therefore, the data obtained are clear and accurate only to the degree implied by the sources and methods used. The information presented herewith was based on professional interpretation using presently accepted methods with a degree of conservatism deemed proper as of the report date. We do not warrant that future technical developments cannot supersede such data.

This asbestos survey is not intended to be the sole basis for asbestos removal bids. Confirmation of the condition and volume of the ACMs should be conducted by prospective removal contractors prior to accepting removal bids. This report is provided for the exclusive use of the client noted on the cover page and is subject to the terms and conditions in the applicable contract between the Client and Krazan. The client is the only party to whom Krazan has explained the risks involved and has been involved in the shaping of the scope of services needed to satisfactorily manage those risks, if any, from the client's point of view. Any third party use of this report, including use by the Client's lender, prospective purchaser, or lessee will be subject to the terms and conditions governing the contractual work between the Client and Krazan. The unauthorized use of, reliance on, or release of the information contained in this report, without the expressed written consent of Krazan, is strictly prohibited and will be without risk or liability to Krazan.

Asbestos analysis was conducted by a laboratory accredited under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) administered by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). The results of the asbestos analyses are accurate only to the degree and care of ensuring the testing accuracy and the representative nature of the samples obtained.

If you have any questions or if we may be of further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact our office at (559) 348-2200.

Respectfully submitted, KRAZAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.

C Jeffre R. Noër

DOSH Certified Asbestos Consultant No. 00-2828

JRN/mlt

TABLE I										
ASBESTOS ANALYSIS RESULTS										
Porterville College - Career Center										
	100 East College Avenue									
		Ро	rterville, Califor	nia						
		Octob	ber 18, 2023 Sam	npling						
			Asbestos	Approx.	Condition /	Notes/				
Sample No.	Sample Description	Sample Location	Content	Sq. Ft.	Friability	Additional locations				
1	Gypsum board / taping material	AC 117	ND	NC	NA	homogenous throughout				
2	Gypsum board / taping material	AC 117	ND	NC	NA	homogenous throughout				
3	Texture	AC 117	ND	NC	NA	homogenous throughout				
4	Texture	AC 117	ND	NC	NA	homogenous throughout				
5	2-ft by 4-ft Ceiling panel	AC 117	ND	NC	NA	acoustic				
6	Base cove mastic	AC 117	ND	NC	NA					
7	Gypsum board / taping material	AC 118	ND	NC	NA	homogenous throughout				
8	Texture	AC 118	ND	NC	NA	homogenous throughout				
9	2-ft by 4-ft Ceiling panel	AC 118	ND	NC	NA	acoustic				
10	Base cove mastic	AC 118	ND	NC	NA					
11	Wall panel covering	AC 117	ND	NC	NA					
12	Stucco	AC exterior	ND	NC	NA	homogenous throughout				
13	Stucco	AC exterior	ND	NC	NA	homogenous throughout				
14	Stucco	AC exterior	ND	NC	NA	homogenous throughout				
NA	= Not applicable		F	= Fair con	dition					
NC	= Not calculated		G	= Good co	ondition					
ND	= None detected		NF	= Non-fria	able					
Trace	= Less than one percent ($<1\%$) chrys	sotile asbestos	FR	= Friable						



- Appendix A



Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C. 7469 Whitepine Rd Richmond, VA 23237

Telephone: 800.347.4010

Number

1

Lab Sample

Number

23-10-02957-001

Asbestos Bulk Analysis Report

Fax Number:

559-348-2201

Report Number: 23-10-02957

Received Date: Client: 10/19/2023 Krazan & Associates Inc. 215 West Dakota Ave Analyzed Date: 10/21/2023 Clovis, CA 93612 Reported Date: 10/23/2023

Project/Test Address: 014-23116; Porterville College Career Center; 100 East College Avenue

Client Number: Laboratory Results 05-5650

Client Sample Lab Gross Description Other Layer Type Asbestos Materials NAD 13% Cellulose White Granular; Tan 87% Non-Fibrous Powder; Fibrous; White Paint-Like; Inhomogeneous

23-10-02957-002	2	White Granular; Powder; White/Tan Fibrous; White Paint-Like; Inhomogeneous	NAD	18% Cellulose 82% Non-Fibrous
23-10-02957-003	3	White Granular; Paint- Like; Inhomogeneous	NAD	100% Non-Fibrous
23-10-02957-004	4	White Granular; Paint- Like; Inhomogeneous	NAD	100% Non-Fibrous

Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C

Client Number: 05-5650 Report Number: 23-10-02957 Project/Test Address: 014-23116; Porterville College Career Center; 100 East College Avenue Lab Sample **Client Sample** Layer Type Lab Gross Description Asbestos Other Number Number **Materials** 23-10-02957-005 5 Beige Fibrous; White NAD 55% Cellulose 10% Fibrous Glass Paint-Like: 35% Non-Fibrous Inhomogeneous 23-10-02957-006 6 Cream Adhesive; NAD 100% Non-Fibrous Homogeneous 7 NAD 19% Cellulose 23-10-02957-007 White Granular; Powder; 81% Non-Fibrous White/Tan Fibrous; White Paint-Like: Inhomogeneous NAD 100% Non-Fibrous 23-10-02957-008 8 White Granular; Paint-Like; Inhomogeneous 9 Beige Fibrous; White NAD 45% Cellulose 23-10-02957-009 15% Fibrous Glass Paint-Like: 40% Non-Fibrous Inhomogeneous 23-10-02957-010 Beige Adhesive; NAD 100% Non-Fibrous 10 Homogeneous NAD 23-10-02957-011 11 White Vinyl; White/Tan 45% Cellulose 55% Non-Fibrous Fibrous; Inhomogeneous

Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C

Client Number: Project/Test Add	05-5650 ress: 014-23116; Center; 100	Porterville Co East College	llege Career Avenue	Report Number:	23-10-02957
Lab Sample Number	Client Sample Number	Layer Type	Lab Gross Description	Asbestos	Other Materials
23-10-02957-012	12		White/Gray Granular; Inhomogeneous	NAD	100% Non-Fibrous
23-10-02957-013	13		White/Gray Granular; Inhomogeneous	NAD	100% Non-Fibrous
23-10-02957-014	14		White/Gray Granular; Inhomogeneous	NAD	100% Non-Fibrous

Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C

Report Number: 23-10-02957

Client Number:	05-5650
Project/Test Address:	014-23116; Porterville College Career
	Center; 100 East College Avenue

0F F0F0

Lab Sample Number	Client Sample Number	Layer Type	Lab Gross Description	Asbestos	Other Materials
OC Sample:	54-M22014-3				
	Sq-1022014-5				
QC Blank:	SRIVI 1866 FIDER	glass			
Reporting Limit:	1% Asbestos				
Method:	EPA Method 600)/R-93/116, EF	PA Method 600/M4-82-020		
Analyst:	Vickie Holmes			\mathbf{m}	A 1 / 1
			Reviewed By Authorized S	Signatory:	lusoa Kanode

Melissa Kanode QA/QC Clerk

These results are based on a comparative visual estimate. The condition of the samples analyzed was acceptable upon receipt per laboratory protocol unless otherwise noted on this report. Each distinct component in an inhomogeneous sample was analyzed separately and reported as a composite. Results represent the analysis of samples submitted by the client. Sample location, description, area, volume, etc., was provided by the client. This report cannot be used by the client to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the U.S. Government. This report shall not be reproducec except in full, without the written consent of the Environmental Hazards Service, L.L.C. California Certification #2319 NY ELAP #11714 NVLAP #101882-0 VELAP 460172. All information concerning sampling location, date, and time can be found on Chain-of-Custody. Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C. does not perform any sample collection.

Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C. recommends reanalysis by point count (for more accurate quantification) or Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), (for enhanced detection capabilities) for materials regulated by EPA NESHAP (National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants) and found to contain less than ten percent (<10%) asbestos by polarized light microscopy (PLM). Both services are available for an additional fee.

400 Point Count Analysis, where noted, performed per EPA Method 600/R-93/116 with a Reporting Limit of 0.25%.

* All California samples analyzed by Polarized Light Microscopy, EPA Method 600/M4-82-020, Dec. 1982.

LEGEND:

NAD = no asbestos detected

E.H.S. LABOR	RATORY #:								PO #: 14-	
				<u>EPA 600 PLM A</u>	<u>SBESTOS S</u>	AMPLE				and the second s
Page 1 of	1			CHAIN O	F CUSTOD	<u>(</u>	TURNARO	UND TIME: San	ne Day / 1-DAY / 2-DAY / 3-DA	/ 5-Day
Client Name: Krazan & Associates, Inc. Cont			Conta	ct: Jeff Noël	Phone:	(559) 348-22	00	Fax:	(559) 348-2201	
Address:	215 West Da	ikota Avenue	Ci	ty: Clovis	State:	California		Zip:	93612	
PROJECT NA	ME:	Porterville College Ca	reer Center	PROJECT ID #:014-23116			DATE SAMPLES TAKEN:		10/18/23	
SAMPLES RE	CV'D (#)	DATE	RECVID	CONDITION		SAMDIES A				
		DATE		CONDITION		SAMIFLES A	OUEFTED (1, N).			
							•			
E.H.S.	Client	Sa	mple	Sample	E.H.S.	Client	Sample		Sample	
Sample #	ID#			Description	Sample #	ID#	Location		Description	
	1	100 East Co	ollege Avenue	Gypsum board / taping material	ļ			23-	10-02957	
	2			Gypsum board / taping material						
	3			Texture	·					
·	4			Texture	-					
	5			2-ft by 4-ft Ceiling panel	·			Du	e Date:	
	6			Base cove mastic				10/	26/2023	
	7			Gypsum board / taping material				(T)	auredavi	
	8			Texture				/ 1	nursuay)	
	9			2-ft by 4-ft Ceiling panel				χ /	EL	Λ
	10			Base cove mastic				\mathcal{N}	01	10
	11			Wall panel covering				V	17/60	λ
	12			Stucco					here.	
	13			Stucco				•	1	
	14		*	Stucco						

							-	- <u></u>		
						· · ·	 			******
		· ·						Al al all and the second s		
			******	· · · · ·						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
					1					
	·····									
					1 · · · ·					
					1					
					1		<u> </u>			
					 					
		1								
									L	
ENVIRON	/ENTAL H	AZARD SERVICE	ES, L.L.C.			2	0		. Cal	
7469 WHIT	E PINE R	OAD		RELINQUISHED BY:	000	5018	1	DATE:	10/18/23	
RICHMONI	D, VA 232	37			· C · ·	00	A 4			
PHONE (80	04) 275-47	788 FAX (804) 27	5-4907	RECEIVED AT E.H.S. BY:	1 JOS/RC	<u> </u>	<u>eller</u>	DATE:\	0/19/23 2:	S3PM
L							/ <u></u>			

G:\Env\JNOEL\EHS ASBESTOS_COC

- Appendix B

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Gavin Newsom, Governor

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS
Division of Occupational Safety and Health-Asbestos Certification
1750 Howe Avenue, Suite 460
Sacramento, CA 95825
(916) 574-2993 Office http://www.dir.ca.gov/dosh/asbestos.html
actu@dir.ca.gov/dosh/asbestos.html



009132828C

210

August 30, 2023

Jeffrey Ronald Noel 1055 Chennault Avenue Clovis CA 93611

Dear Certified Asbestos Consultant or Technician:

Enclosed is your certification card. To maintain your certification, you must abide by the rules printed on the back of the certification card.

Your certification is valid for a period of one year. If you wish to renew your certification, you must apply for renewal at least 60 days <u>before</u> the expiration date shown on your card. [8 CCR 341.15(h)(1)].

Please hold and do not send copies of your required AHERA refresher renewal certificates to our office until you apply for renewal of your certification.

Certificates must be kept current if you are actively working as a CAC or CSST. The grace period is only for those who are not actively working as an asbestos consultant or site surveillance technician.

Please contact our office at the above address or email w any changes in your contact/mailing information within 15 days of the change.

Sincerely,

Kithulit

Kevin Graulich Principal Safety Engineer

Attachment: Certification Card

cc: File



Renewal - Card Attached

LEAD-BASED PAINT SURVEY PORTERVILLE COLLEGE CAREER CENTER 100 EAST COLLEGE AVENUE PORTERVILLE, CALIFORNIA

Project No. 014-23116 October 26, 2023

Prepared for: Daniel Reed Kern Community College District 2100 Chester Avenue, Suite 201 Bakersfield, California 93301 (661) 336-5100

> Prepared by: Krazan & Associates, Inc. 215 West Dakota Avenue Clovis, California 93612 (559) 348-2200

TABLE OF CONTENTSProject No. 014-23116

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF WORK	1
3.0 BUILDING DESCRIPTION	1
4.0 INVESTIGATIVE METHODS	2
4.1 Sampling Protocols	2
4.2 Laboratory Analytical Methods	2
5.0 RESULTS OF INVESTIGATION	2
6.0 CONCLUSIONS	3
7.0 LIMITATIONS	4

Figures

Lead-Based Paint Survey Results (Table I)	following text
Floor Plan with Sample Locations	following Results

Appendices

Analytical Results and Chain-of-Custody Record	. A
DPH Certifications	. B



GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING • ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION TESTING & INSPECTION

October 26, 2023

Project No. 014-23116

LEAD-BASED PAINT SURVEY PORTERVILLE COLLEGE CAREER CENTER 100 EAST COLLEGE AVENUE PORTERVILLE, CALIFORNIA

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of our lead-based paint survey for the structure located at 100 E. College Ave. in Porterville, California. The lead-based paint survey was conducted under the conditions of Krazan & Associates, Inc.'s (Krazan's) Proposal No. P23-390, dated September 17, 2023. Mike Giacomini gave written authorization on September 28, 2023, for Krazan to proceed with the lead-based paint survey.

2.0 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF WORK

The purpose of the lead-based paint survey was to identify and quantify the presence of potential leadbased paints (LBPs) at the on-site structure in areas scheduled for remodel. The scope of work for the limited LBP survey included conducting a visual survey of the structure, conducting bulk sampling and analysis of materials suspected to contain lead.

3.0 BUILDING DESCRIPTION

The site is located on the north side of College, east of Main in Porterville, California. The structure was a single-story structure with concrete slab-on-grade foundation, with stucco exterior walls. Interior construction included suspended ceilings with two-foot by four-foot ceiling panels; gypsum board and wood walls; and concrete floors overlain (in areas) by wall-to-wall carpeting.

4.0 INVESTIGATIVE METHODS

4.1 Sampling Protocols

Four (4) samples of suspected LBPs were collected from the on-site structure. Representative samples were collected from painted surfaces that visually appeared to contain various types of paint. Every attempt was made to identify unique paint and/or surface types. However, a chance exists that: 1) different paints are not visually distinct, 2) hidden surfaces exist, or 3) areas that were painted with different and distinct paint types are now covered by a single overlay. Sample locations for this survey were determined by the inspector and were selected in a random fashion after homogeneous areas were identified.

Sample locations for this survey were chosen in a semi-random fashion with emphasis placed on minimizing damage to the sampled materials. The samples were collected by carefully removing a small amount of the suspect material, with every attempt to separate the paint from the substrate. If possible, samples were collected from existing damaged areas or loose pieces of materials. Each sample was placed in a separate sealed plastic bag, and labeled with the project number and sample number.

4.2 Laboratory Analytical Methods

Paint chip samples were analyzed by E.H.S. of Richmond, Virginia, to detect the presence of total lead in accordance with EPA Method 7420. Copies of the analytical results and Chain-of-Custody Record are included in the Appendixes.

5.0 **RESULTS OF INVESTIGATION**

As stated previously, 4 samples of suspected LBPs were collected from throughout the structure. Analytical laboratory results and field observations of the materials sampled have been summarized on Tables, in the Appendixes of this report. Information presented within the tables includes the sample number, the room equivalent, building component, substrate, testing location, lead content, the volume of LBP identified (typically expressed in square feet), and the condition of the material sampled. In addition, footnotes have been provided to convey pertinent information regarding the specific sample.

The following paints contained 0.5% or greater total lead by weight and are defined as lead-based paint: No paints associated with the structure contained 0.5% or greater total lead by weight. The following paints contained greater than 0.009% total lead by weight and are defined as leadcontaining paint:

Brown metal door frame – exterior (Sample No. 4)

The paints on the exterior and interior of the structure surveyed were generally in good condition.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

Occupational exposure to lead is regulated by both the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) (29 CFR 1926.62) and California OSHA (Title 8, GISO 5198 and CSO 1532.1). Based on Federal and California OSHA, when disturbing paints which contain lead (any amount of detectable lead), the above-noted OSHA and California OSHA regulations should be followed. Furthermore, the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) publication entitled "Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing," dated 1995, outlines specific guidelines for disrupting paint with lead in excess of 5,000 mg/kg (lead-based paint). These guidelines have been developed primarily to address conditions within buildings utilized for residential purposes. In addition, industry accepted standards also suggest that building owners notify occupants regarding the presence, location, and extent of lead-based paints. Records of all notifications and reports must be maintained for the duration of ownership and must be transferred to successive owners.

All construction work where an employee may be occupationally exposed to lead containing paint, including building renovation and demolition, must comply with OSHA Regulation 29 CFR 1926.62 and California OSHA Title 8, CSO 1532.1. This regulation requires initial employee exposure monitoring to evaluate worker exposure during work that disturbs lead containing paint. Krazan suggests that engineering controls and air monitoring for airborne lead be conducted at the start of projects in which worker exposure to lead containing paint is likely.

Demolition of buildings containing lead-based paint is not specifically regulated by the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (APCD). General requirements for building demolition, however, such as dust control, must be strictly followed. Also, building components which have been identified as being coated with loose and flaking LBPs must be handled (typically done by scraping of loose/flaking LBPs and then stabilized with an over coating of fresh paint) and LBP chips disposed of as a Hazardous Waste and not be discarded as general construction debris.

7.0 LIMITATIONS

This survey and review of the subject property has been limited in scope. This investigation is undertaken with the calculated risk that the presence, full nature, and extent of lead-containing paints would not be revealed by visual observation and sampling alone. Krazan & Associates, Inc. makes no representation as to the lead content of paints not sampled or that were inaccessible to our inspector.

The findings of this report were based upon the results of our site inspection, paint chip sampling, along with the interpretation of paint chip analysis results. Lead-paint testing was done by a laboratory certified by the State of California Department of Public Health (DPH) and accredited as an AIHA Environmental Lead Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELLAP) laboratory. Therefore, the data are accurate only to the degree implied by review of the data obtained and by professional interpretation, and the degree of care of ensuring the testing accuracy and the representative nature of the samples obtained. The findings presented herewith are based on professional interpretation using state of the art methods and equipment and a degree of conservatism deemed proper as of this report date. It is not warranted that such data cannot be superseded by future environmental or technical developments.

This lead-based paint survey is not intended to be the sole basis of lead paint removal bids. Confirmation of specific lead-based paint and volumes should be conducted by prospective removal contractors prior to accepting removal bids. This report is provided for the exclusive use of the client noted on the cover page and is subject to the terms and conditions in the applicable contract between the client and Krazan. The client is the only party to whom Krazan has explained the risks involved and has been involved in the shaping of the scope of services needed to satisfactorily manage those risks, if any, from the client's point of view. Any third-party use of this report, including use by Client's lender, prospective purchaser, or lessee will be subject to the terms and conditions governing the contractual work in the contract between the client and Krazan. The unauthorized use of, release of, or reliance on the information contained in this report, without the expressed written consent of Krazan & Associates, Inc., is strictly prohibited and will be without risk or liability to Krazan.

If you have any questions or if we may be of further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact our office at (559) 348-2200.

Respectfully submitted, KRAZAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.

y Noil H 0

(Jeffrey R. Noël DPH Certified Lead Inspector/Assessor LRC-00003853

JRN/mlt

TABLE I LEAD-BASED PAINT SURVEY RESULTS													
Porterville College - Career Center													
	100 East College Avenue												
]	Porterville, Calif	ornia									
		Oct	tober 18, 2023 S	ampling									
			Lead content	Volume		Notes/							
Sample No.	Sample Location	Paint Sampled	% by weight	est. in sq. ft.	Condition	Additional locations							
1	AC 117	gypsum board wall	< 0.0036	NC	good	off-white							
2	2 AC 118 gypsum board wall 0.0038 NC good off-white												
3	AC Exterior	stucco wall <0.0050 NC good tan											
4	AC Exterior	metal door frame	0.12	NC	good	brown							
						-							

NOTE: Lead-based paint is defined as paint containing 0.5% or greater lead by weight. NC = Not calculated

Lead containing paint is defined as paint containing greater than 0.009% lead by weight.

Bold text items are considered Lead-based paint

Italic text items are considered Lead-containing paint



- Appendix A



Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C. 7469 Whitepine Rd Richmond, VA 23237 Telephone: 800.347.4010

Lead Paint Chip Analysis Report

Report Number: 23-10-02965

Client:	Krazan & Associates Inc.	Received Date:	10/19/2023
	215 West Dakota Ave	Analyzed Date:	10/26/2023
	Clovis, CA 93612	Reported Date:	10/26/2023

Project/Test Address: 014-23116; Porterville College Career Center; 100 East College Avenue Collection Date: 10/18/2023

<u>Client Number:</u> 05-5650		Laboratory Res	<u>Fax Numbe</u> 559-348-22	<u>r:</u> 201	
Lab Sample Number	Client Sample Number	Collection Location	Pb (ug/g) ppm	% Pb by Wt.	Narrative ID
23-10-02965-001	1		<36	<0.0036	
23-10-02965-002	2		38	0.0038	
23-10-02965-003	3		<50	<0.0050	
23-10-02965-004	4		1200	0.12	

Environmental	Hazards	Services,	L.L.	С
---------------	---------	-----------	------	---

Client Number: 05-5650 Project/Test Address: 014-23116; Porterville College Career Center; 100 East College Avenue

Lab Sample
NumberClient Sample
NumberCollection LocationPb (ug/g)
ppm% Pb by
Wt.Narrative
ID

Preparation Method: ASTM E-1979-17 Analysis Method: EPA SW846 7000B

Reviewed By Authorized Signatory:

Milisoa Kanode

23-10-02965

Melissa Kanode QA/QC Clerk

Report Number:

The Reporting Limit (RL) for samples prepared by ASTM E-1979-17 is 10.0 ug Total Pb. The RL for samples prepared by EPA SW846 3050B is 25.0 ug Total Pb. Paint chip area and results are calculated based on area measurements determined by the client. All internal quality control requirements associated with this batch were met, unless otherwise noted.

The condition of the samples analyzed was acceptable upon receipt per laboratory protocol unless otherwise noted on this report. Results represent the analysis of samples submitted by the client. Sample location, description, area, etc., was provided by the client. Results reported above in mg/cm3 are calculated based on area supplied by client. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written consent of Environmental Hazards Services, L.L.C.

ELLAP Accreditation through AIHA LAP, LLC (100420), NY ELAP #11714.

LEGEND	Pb= lead	ug = microgram	ppm = parts per million		
	ug/g = micrograms per gram	Wt. = weight			

ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARD SERVICES, L.L.C. 7469 WHITE PINE ROAD - RICHMOND, VA 23237 PHONE (804) 275-4788 FAX (804) 275-4907 CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM

									****				·	***********								······
Company Name) :	Kra	izan	& Α	SSOC	late	s, In	C.													Date:	10/18/2023
Address:		215	5 We	est C	Dako	ta A	venu	le													Contact Name:	Jeff Noël
City, State, Zip:		Clo	ovis,	CA	9361	12															Sampler Name:	Jeff Noël
EHS Client Acc	ount #:	5-5	650	D																	Project #:	014-23116
Phone #:	1	(55	9) 3	48-2	200		F	AX:		(559	9) 34	18-2	201									Porterville College Career Center
																						100 East College Avenue
P.O. #:	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			-																		
		1																			T	
				Asb	esto	S					Le	ad					Oth	er M	etal	s	Particulate:	Total Nuisance (NIOSH 0500)
		-		T	T		$\overline{\Sigma}$			1		Γ	.			(Sp	Decity	meta	ils be	low) I		Respirable (NIOSH 0600)
	-		Ē	±	0	Ē	Bul						e					e				
Sample	Sample Date	Σ	8	2	etri	S	d ()				2)		P N			00	e	D	1. · ·			
Number	& Time	Ē	je j	0	<u>ğ</u>	RA	tfiel			ŝ) CT		ee		lter	R	E	а Ш			Air Volume (L)	Comments
		6	Ē	i.	ira)	H۳.	ha		(%	D D D	b E		N.	6	Š	S S	Б	let		1	OR Wipe	Comments
			ŝ			A N	S S	1.) t	Ť	nt (* •	a,	ste	٩	din	0			Area (ft ²) OR	
		Bul	٩		2	Ē	Ē	Air	Pail	Dai	Dail	Soil	1 2 2	2	Sa	1 2	Sel	Õ			Scrape Area (cm ²)	
1	10/18/2023			1					X	X			-			<u> </u>		†	İ		<u> </u>	
2	10/18/2023								Х	Х												- 23-10-02965 -
3	10/18/2023								Х	X												
4	10/18/2023	I	ŀ		-				Х	X									1			
													1		1 1			1	1			Due Date:
		1					•															10/26/2023 -
				1							1.			1			1	1		1		(Thursday)
			1	1										1								EL
																			<u> </u>			
		┢──																 				
			1 .					· · · · ·														- 11) -
		+	+			·								<u> </u>				+	· · · ·			
				<u> </u>			а. 19											<u> </u>				
		+						с. С													-	
		+	+	1																		
																		-				
		<u> </u>																	-			
				+				·													· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
										-								-				
				-														ļ		ļ	·	
													ļ					<u> </u>				
Bologood Bur			A2	IVIE	= 179	z re	quire	eme	nts?			res	Ļ	}	NO		20	7	7			tiston 1500
Received By:	Jen No					······		·	Sigr	atu	re:	¥	Ð		101	5.	1 h	94			Date/Time: 20	110103 210-000
Inteceived By:		10				<u>.</u>			Sigr	iatui	re:	\rightarrow	1	Ľ	a	4					Date/Time:	[Mas 3:23 Min

AS

- Appendix B



STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH



LEAD-RELATED CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

INDIVIDUAL:	CERTIFICATE TYPE:	NUMBER:	EXPIRATION DATE:
	Lead Inspector/Assessor	LRC-00003853	1/4/2024
[]	Lead Project Designer	LRC-00003854	1/4/2024
-	Lead Supervisor	LRC-00003852	1/4/2024

Jeffrey Noel

Disclaimer: This document alone should not be relied upon to confirm certification status. Compare the individual's photo and name to another valid form of government issued photo identification. Verify the individual's certification status by searching for Lead-Related Construction Professionals at www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/clppb or calling (800) 597-LEAD